

*Law Offices of*  
**SUSAN CHANA LASK**

*244 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2369*  
*New York, N.Y. 10001*

(917) 300-1958

www.appellate-brief.com

VIA E-MAIL & FAX 310-553-1793 SENDING 1 PAGE(S), INCLUDING THIS PAGE

August 8, 2012

Laura A. Wasser, Esq.  
Wasser, Cooperman & Carter  
2029 Century Park East, Suite 1200  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2957

Re: Kardashian/Humphries

Dear Ms. Wasser:

I just reviewed Ms. Salinas' letter. That letter with my letter certainly make a good Law Journal article for any lawyer on how to conduct discovery; namely, by cooperating with counsel and respecting counsel and party and **non-party** schedules following procedure and law.

Moreover, I am shocked your client spent what appears to be some \$10,000.00 for simple service that was a complete failure with a document that is not even legal when service could have been accomplished by substitution which costs nothing but a motion filing fee and an hour of your time. It appears to me your strategy was to harass and annoy my client with strange men sitting in unmarked cars at her house to serve her useless junk, which will backfire as my strategy is to hold Ms. Kardashian liable for sanctions, fees and other costs.

Do not ever send strange men or anyone near my client again because of this ridiculous Hollywood drama or for any reason. Your client caused enough damage that I believe falls under the definition of "no legitimate purpose".

I also note your office called me a few minutes ago but did not leave a message? I am in court all day tomorrow; however, please send any communication here via e-mail and I will respond immediately

Very truly yours,  
LAW OFFICES OF SUSAN CHANA LASK  
*Susan Chana Lask*  
SUSAN CHANA LASK

cc: Myla Sinanaj  
Sandra Salinas, Esq.

*Law Offices of*  
**SUSAN CHANA LASK**

*244 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2369*  
*New York, N.Y. 10001*

(917) 300-1958

www.appellate-brief.com

VIA E-MAIL                      SENDING 1 PAGE(S), INCLUDING THIS PAGE

August 8, 2012

Michael Brouman, Esq.  
Wasser, Cooperman & Carter  
2029 Century Park East, Suite 1200  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2957

Re: Kardashian/Humphries

Dear Mr. Brouman:

In response to your letter just now, I read that paragraph of the CPLR—that's subject to interpretation.

How about these facially defective issues: (a) it does not specify the purpose for the non-party deposition ("The subpoenas duces tecum with notice of deposition served on plaintiff's health care providers were facially defective for failure to "stat [e] the circumstances or reasons such disclosure is sought or required", as required by CPLR 3101(a)(4). *De Stafano v MT Health Clubs, Inc.*, 220 AD2d 331, 331 [1st Dept 1995]); (b) it was not accompanied by her witness fee, "Any person whose attendance is compelled by a subpoena, whether or not actual testimony is taken, shall receive for each day's attendance fifteen dollars for attendance fees and twenty-three cents as travel expenses for each mile to the place of attendance from the place where he or she was served, and return. There shall be no mileage fee for travel wholly within a city. CPLR § 8001(a) and (c) missing her advance travel fees, "Any person subpoenaed shall be paid or tendered in advance authorized traveling expenses and one day's witness fee." CPLR § 2303(a).

It is facially defective, and whether she said something or not to your "process server" is as irrelevant as jamming her into this divorce.

I receive your emails so please do not fax to avoid duplicates.

Very truly yours,  
LAW OFFICES OF SUSAN CHANA LASK  
*Susan Chana Lask*  
SUSAN CHANA LASK

cc: Myla Sinanaj  
Sandra Salinas, Esq.